Evaluation methods for indoor environmental quality assessment according to EN15251

Summary: In existing and future buildings there will be an increasing focus on energy uses and indoor environmental quality. Even if buildings are using several different kinds of energy sources, the yearly energy performance is expressed in one format either as primary energy or CO₂ emission. As a consequence, in order to compare energy performance with the corresponding indoor environmental performance, there is a need to express also the indoor environmental performance on a yearly basis, referring both to each separate environmental factor (thermal comfort, air quality, light and noise) and to a combination of these factors. If the indoor environmental criteria in existing standards have to be met 100% of the occupancy time, the amount of heating, cooling and/or ventilation capacity of any HVAC installation would be prohibitive in terms of energy consumptions. Economic and/or environmental considerations lead to a more pragmatic position of allowing the indoor environmental conditions to exceed the recommended ranges for a limited period of time: this can be verified both by computer simulations (design stage) and by the field monitoring (post-occupancy phase).

The present paper will present some concepts to carry out a whole year performance evaluation of the indoor environment, inspired by ISO EN 7730 (thermal environment) or EN15251 (thermal, indoor air quality, light and noise). Besides, some new suggested concepts about indoor environmental quality are tested. Based on data from indoor environmental measurements in an existing building, methods for long term evaluations will be presented and discussed. The results show that the different concepts to a great extend will bring the same relative results. The results also show that today we still do not have enough knowledge to be able to combine the indoor environmental parameters into one synthetic indicator.

The full length version of this article with the references is available at the journal website, http://www.rehva.eu/en/rehva-european-hvac-journal.

Introduction

The environmental factors that define the indoor environmental quality (IEQ) are: thermal comfort, indoor air quality, acoustic comfort and visual comfort. This makes it almost impossible to describe the indoor environment in a building on a yearly basis with only one indicator. This is much easier with energy, where the different energy carriers (electricity, fuel, etc.) can be converted to primary energy or CO₂ emission. For the individual indoor environmental factors, there is even not any standardized method for the estimation of a yearly performance descriptor.

Criteria for acceptable thermal conditions are specified as requirements for global thermal comfort (PMV-Predicted Mean Vote, PPD- Predicted Percentage of
Dissatisfied, or operative temperature, air velocity and relative humidity) and local thermal discomfort (draught, vertical air temperature differences, radiant temperature asymmetry, surface temperature of the floor). Such requirements can be found in existing standards and guidelines like EN ISO 7730 (2007) [1], CR 1752 (1998) [2], EN15251 (2007) [3] and ASHRAE 55 (2007) [4]. Moreover for free running or natural ventilated office buildings, the criteria for an acceptable operative temperature are given as a function of the mean outdoor temperature [3] [4].

Different categories of criteria, according to [1] and [3], may be used for IEQ assessment depending on type of building, type of occupants, type of climate and national differences (Table 1). Some of the standards specify different categories of indoor environment which could be selected as a reference for the space to be conditioned. These different categories may also be used to give an overall, yearly evaluation of the indoor environment by estimating (through measurements or dynamic building simulations) the percentage of time in each category of the analyzed room or building [5]. EN 15251, for example, specifies how criteria about IEQ can be established and used at the design stage; moreover it defines the main parameters to be used as input for building energy calculation and long-term evaluation of the indoor environment [6].

But, if thermal comfort criteria have to be met 100% of the time of occupancy, including extreme weather conditions, the heating and/or cooling capacity of any HVAC installation would be prohibitive. Economic and/or environmental considerations lead to a more pragmatic position of allowing the thermal conditions to exceed the recommended ranges for a limited period time. There is a need to quantify through some suitable index long term comfort conditions to compare alternative design solutions and long term measurements during the post-occupancy phase in existing buildings.

The use of categories during the design stage to evaluate different design options can be done by yearly building energy simulations. In these calculations, the categories may be clearly adopted and performance indicators can be expressed as percentage of time where the indoor environment falls into the different categories. The use of categories to express the quality of the indoor environment during building operation can be based on measurements of the physical parameters. The present paper deals with thermal environment and indoor air quality assessment. Based on data from measurements in an existing office building, different methods for long and short term indoor climate investigations are presented and discussed.

**Method**

The case study is an office building located in Denmark (Lat: 55.5°, Lon: 9.75°). The building has a complex shape (see Figure 1). The total volume is mainly occupied by bank offices, but also a bookshop, a café and a real estate agent office is located at the ground floor level, around a central plaza. The working areas (basically open space offices) are mainly located on three open terraces, called “plateaus”, internally connected by broad staircases. On each floor also single

| Table 1. Example criteria for PMV-PPD, operative temperature, relative humidity and ventilation (CO₂ concentration) for typical spaces with sedentary activity. [3] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Category | PPD [%] | PMV | Operative Temperature range | Summer CO₂ Above outdoor [ppm] |
| Winter 1.0clo/1.2met | 21.0 - 23.0 | 23.5 - 25.5 | 30 - 50 | 350 |
| Summer 0.5clo/1.2met | 20.0 - 24.0 | 23.0 - 26.0 | 25 - 60 | 350 - 500 |
| Relative Humidity [%] | 19.0 - 25.0 | 22.0 - 27.0 | 20 - 70 | 500 - 800 |
| CO₂ Above outdoor [ppm] | < 19.0 - 25.0< | < 22.0 - 27.0< | < 20 - 70< | > 800 |

Note: In standards like EN ISO 7730, EN15251 and EN 13779 (2007) [7] categories or classes are also used; but they may be named differently (A, B, C or 1, 2, 3 etc.).
offices, meeting rooms and other rooms for dedicated services are placed. The building envelope is made mainly by structural glass (U = 1.1 W/m²K), with the transmission coefficient (visible light/solar energy) equal to [0.64/0.35]. The office is normally occupied from 8:00 to 18:00, from Monday to Friday.

The indoor environmental control of the building is divided into two main strategies:

- Type 1: Convecors and balanced mechanical ventilation for heating and ventilation control during the winter period, TABS (Thermo active building system) and HVAC system for cooling and ventilation control during summer. This kind of system is mainly applied in single offices and meeting rooms.
- Type 2: Embedded water based radiant system, and convecors for thermal control. Natural ventilation by controlled window openings to provide acceptable indoor air quality. This kind of strategy is applied in all the large spaces, like in the offices situated on the terraces (plateaus), in the canteen and in the central square at the ground floor.

The monitoring campaign started in July 2010. In this period, measurements of air temperature, operative temperature, relative humidity and CO₂ concentration were collected every 10 minutes in 12 different rooms. Meanwhile, an external weather station collected data of the outdoor air temperature, relative humidity, wind velocity/directions and solar irradiance.

In this paper the investigation of IEQ focuses on two spaces. The first (ROOM A) is an open space office located at the first floor and characterized by control strategy Type 1. The second space (ROOM B) is another open space also located on the first floor, but characterized by control strategy Type 2 (Figure1).

**Results**

The aim of this investigation is to show and compare different method for describing thermal comfort and indoor air quality. In this paper long and short term evaluation applied to the two analyzed office rooms are addressed and discussed.

Standard EN 15251 [3], in annex F (“Long term evaluation of the general thermal comfort conditions”), suggests three different methods (A,B,C) to evaluate and represent the comfort conditions over time (season, year), based on data from measurements in real buildings or obtained by dynamic computer simulations.
One method is based on the calculated number (or %) of hours in occupied period when the PMV or the Operative Temperature are outside a specified range.

Application of this method is shown in Figure 2. Here the thermal performance of the two analyzed rooms, in terms of percentage of time according to the four categories of operative temperature and PMV suggested by the standard (Table 1), was evaluated both for winter (a) and summer (b) periods.

Other physical parameters, monitored or deriving by dynamical simulations, can be represented with the same approach. Figure 2 also shows the percentage of time when the CO₂ concentration and the Relative Humidity exceed the respective ranges indicated in Table 1.

Operative temperature and PMV evaluations, show some differences in the results: the operative temperature evaluation gives slightly better results compared to the PMV evaluation. While the first considers just the operative temperature, the PMV calculation depends by
physical parameters (air temperature, relative humidity, air velocity, mean radiant temperature) and subjective parameters (thermal resistance of the clothes and metabolic rate). In this case study the physical parameters, except to air velocity, were monitored in continuous. Through spot measurements performed in different periods of the year, it was however possible to establish that the air velocity was averagely around 0.10 [m/s]. For the PMV calculation the air velocity value was then kept constant as 0.10 [m/s]. Regarding the subjective parameters, the metabolic rate used in the analysis was the one indicated by standard ASHRAE 55/2004 for “Office activity-Filing, seated”, 1.2 [met]. Also the clothing insulation value was kept constant: 0.5 [clo] in summer period and 1 [clo] in winter period. Due to these assumptions, the PMV calculation does not represent the real PMV of a specific occupant in the room during the monitored time, but it represents the average evaluation of the thermal environment according to the comfort standards for office buildings.

From Figure 2 it is possible to note that, during the heating period, both the two control strategies, Type 1 and 2 (Room A and B) were able to provide a very good thermal quality in the analyzed rooms. Only a little percentage of time (less than 2%) was in Category III, while for the 88% of time operative temperature fell in Category I. The situation was different in summer period. As shown in the figure during the warm season the thermal quality in both the rooms presents a large percentage of time when the temperature fell in Category III and also a little in Category IV.

This method is a fine way to present the yearly results, but it is not possible to see if the problem is a too warm or too cold environment.. If we analyze Category IV, splitting it in two parts, Category IV(−) when T<22°C and Category VI(+) when T>27°C in summer, it is possible to see that the percentage of time when the temperatures in room B exceed the upper range is negligible. This fact is better shown in the operative temperature profiles of Figure 3. According to this analysis the performance in summer is not acceptable because temperatures are too low for a big percentage of time for both cases, A and B. With an optimized control setting under cooling can be avoided and energy saved

Figure 3 also show the bigger fluctuation of operative temperature of Room B respect to Room A during the working day. The mechanical ventilation in
room A contributes to reach the temperature of 23°C with very small fluctuations (< 2-3 K). While in the natural ventilated Room B, the variations of the operative temperature is larger (> 9 K). The control of the natural ventilation was based on controlled window openings according to indoor temperature and CO₂ concentration. Looking at this graph, and at the values of Table 1, it can be observed that most of the time the outside temperature was lower than the indoor temperature, so natural ventilation could be a useful and economic way to remove and control the heating loads during summer; but to avoid under cooling and the large variations in operative temperature the control of the window openings must be improved.

From Figure 2 it is also possible to see that for both the rooms, and for both seasons, the CO₂ concentration was very good: the percentage of time when the air quality was in Category I was always greater than 91% in winter period and equal to 100% in summer.

The same figure also shows the relative humidity evaluation: in that case emerges that for both seasons, the best results are in Room B but, as already highlighted before, from this representation is not possible to see that the values falling from Category II to Category IV are lower/higher (winter/summer) than the lower/upper limit of Category I.

Discussions and conclusions
The main idea behind the categories for IEQ assessment is to use them from the design up to the post-occupancy phase for buildings and HVAC systems analysis, in order to provide evaluations about the indoor environment over a longer period like a year. The intention is not to force the operation of a building within one class the whole year, but to critically analyse the possible change of classes over the year. In fact, even if a building is designed for a lower category, it will still be possible to operate the building the majority of the year in a higher category. For building with HVAC systems the categories are based on different levels of the PMV-PPD index and/or operative temperature. If the long term evaluation also will be used to analyse a problem and find solutions it is important to evaluate the deviations outside the categories on the warm and cold side separately. In practice, very often, operative temperature is the reference parameter used in field investigations. It is, however, questionable if fixed temperature ranges should be used for a long term evaluation. In fact, people often adapt their clothing according to the outside climate: this is true for both mechanical and naturally ventilated buildings. This aspect needs to be deeper studied in future researches, in order to take this into account for category range definition.

In this paper the use of categories for the thermal environment and indoor air quality assessment in an office building is performed. Two different environments (naturally ventilated and mechanically ventilated), part of the same office building, are compared. Results and elaboration about long term monitoring in the selected rooms are shown.

Different methods of classification for the long term evaluation suggested by the standards are analysed, and critical aspects are highlighted. A variation of application of one of the method suggested by the standard EN ISO 15251 is presented.
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